

# Susan Sontag Against Interpretation

**susan sontag against interpretation** is a phrase that resonates deeply within the realm of literary and artistic criticism. It evokes a pivotal moment in intellectual history when the very act of interpreting art and literature was called into question. Susan Sontag, a renowned essayist, philosopher, and cultural critic, challenged traditional notions of interpretation in her influential essay "Against Interpretation" published in 1964. Her stance sparked debates that continue to influence contemporary discussions on aesthetics, criticism, and the nature of art. This article explores Sontag's critique of interpretation, its philosophical underpinnings, implications, and relevance today.

## Understanding Susan Sontag's "Against Interpretation"

### The Context of the Essay

In the early 1960s, the art world and literary criticism were heavily influenced by the idea that art should be understood through analysis, deciphered for its hidden meanings, symbols, and themes. Critics often sought to uncover the "truth" behind a work, sometimes at the expense of experiencing it directly. Susan Sontag's "Against Interpretation" emerged as a response to this trend, advocating for a more immediate and visceral engagement with art. Her essay was partly a reaction against the dominance of psychoanalytic and semiotic approaches to criticism, which she believed reduced art to a set of symbols and signs, stripping it of its sensual and aesthetic qualities. Sontag argued that interpretation often led to intellectualization, which diminished the emotional and sensory impact of art.

### The Core Argument

At its heart, Sontag's critique is that interpretation can be a reductive process that impoverishes the experience of art. She asserts that: - Overemphasis on interpretation turns art into a puzzle to be solved rather than an experience to be felt. - The focus on decoding symbols can detach the viewer from the immediate aesthetic pleasure. - Art should be appreciated for its form, sensation, and presence, not solely for its symbolic or thematic content. Sontag champions a more direct, sensory engagement with art—what she calls "pleasure," "beauty," and "sensuousness"—over analytical dissection.

## The Philosophical Foundations of Sontag's Critique

### Aesthetic Experience over Intellectualization

Sontag's stance is rooted in an aesthetic philosophy that emphasizes the importance of sensory experience. She believed that art's primary function is to evoke feelings, moods, and sensations, which can be lost when art is reduced to its interpretative content. She writes, "To interpret is to impoverish." This provocative statement encapsulates her belief that interpretation can overshadow the immediate, visceral experience of art.

### Influence of European Art and Literature

Sontag was inspired by European traditions of appreciating art for its beauty and form, rather than solely for its

symbolic meanings. She admired the emphasis placed by certain critics and artists on the physical and sensory aspects of art, such as the paintings of the Impressionists or the lyrical qualities of poetry. Her critique aligns with movements like formalism, which prioritize the formal qualities of art—composition, color, line, and texture—over content.

## **The Danger of Over-Interpretation**

Sontag warned against the tendency to impose interpretations on art that may distort or overshadow the work itself. She believed that excessive interpretation could:

- Lead to a sterile intellectual engagement.
- Diminish the work's emotional and aesthetic power.
- Result in a proliferation of subjective, sometimes arbitrary, readings.

Her concern was that interpretation can become a form of "overreading," where the work's richness is flattened into a single, often reductive, meaning.

## **The Impact and Criticism of Sontag's Position**

### **Revolutionizing Art Criticism**

Sontag's essay marked a significant shift in how critics and audiences approached art. Her call to prioritize sensory experience encouraged critics to focus more on formal qualities and emotional responses rather than solely on thematic analysis. Her ideas helped pave the way for movements like New Criticism and formalism, which emphasize close reading of the text's or artwork's intrinsic features.

### **Criticism and Limitations**

While influential, Sontag's "Against Interpretation" has also faced criticism. Some argue that:

- Interpretation is essential to understanding complex or ambiguous works.
- Completely dismissing interpretation risks ignoring the cultural, historical, and ideological contexts that give art meaning.
- Her emphasis on sensation may overlook the importance of intellectual engagement and critical analysis.

Moreover, critics have pointed out that her approach might favor certain types of art—particularly those appreciated for their formal qualities—over others that rely heavily on narrative or symbolic content.

## **Modern Relevance of Sontag's "Against Interpretation"**

### **The Digital Age and Art Consumption**

In today's digital era, where images and texts are consumed instantaneously, Sontag's emphasis on immediate sensory experience remains pertinent. Social media, streaming platforms, and virtual exhibitions encourage viewers to engage with art quickly and intuitively. However, the proliferation of interpretative content—reviews, analyses, critiques—also risks over-intellectualizing art, sometimes at the expense of direct experience. Sontag's call to balance interpretation with sensory engagement is increasingly relevant.

### **Contemporary Artistic Practices**

Many contemporary artists and critics have embraced Sontag's ideas, creating works that emphasize sensory and experiential qualities. Installations, performance art, and abstract visual art often prioritize physical and emotional engagement over symbolic complexity. Furthermore, the rise of experiential and immersive art forms

aligns with Sontag's belief in the importance of direct aesthetic experience.

## Interplay Between Interpretation and Sensory Experience

While Sontag advocates for a rejection of excessive interpretation, many argue that interpretation and sensory experience are not mutually exclusive. Instead, they can complement each other, enriching the appreciation of art. Contemporary critics often advocate for a balanced approach—encouraging viewers to first experience art viscerally and then explore its meanings.

## Conclusion: The Enduring Legacy of Sontag's "Against Interpretation"

Susan Sontag's "Against Interpretation" remains a seminal text that challenges us to reconsider our relationship with art and literature. Her emphasis on immediate, sensuous engagement invites audiences and critics alike to prioritize the visceral pleasure of art, resisting the tendency to overly analyze or decode. While her position is not without limitations, its influence persists in contemporary aesthetic debates, encouraging a more balanced, holistic approach to appreciating creative works. In an age saturated with interpretative frameworks and digital content, Sontag's call to savor the sensory, to experience art directly, continues to inspire those seeking a more authentic, emotionally resonant engagement with culture. Her critique serves as a reminder that sometimes, the most profound understanding of art lies not in interpretation but in the simple act of being present with it.

Susan Sontag Against Interpretation: An In-Depth Examination of Her Critical Philosophy In the landscape of 20th-century cultural criticism, few voices are as influential and provocative as that of Susan Sontag. Among her many contributions, her 1966 essay "Against Interpretation" stands out as a seminal manifesto that challenged prevailing modes of aesthetic and intellectual engagement with art and culture. This long-form analysis aims to unpack the complex ideas presented in Sontag's essay, contextualize her critique within broader philosophical debates, and explore its enduring significance in contemporary discourse.

## Introduction: The Genesis of "Against Interpretation"

Published in *Partisan Review* in 1964 and later expanded in her 1966 collection *Against Interpretation and Other Essays*, Susan Sontag's essay emerged at a pivotal moment in postwar cultural history. The 1960s were characterized by a burgeoning interest in critical theory, semiotics, and the interpretative frameworks that sought to decode artistic works. However, Sontag's stance was a deliberate counterpoint to this prevailing trend. "Against Interpretation" posits that the interpretative approach—reducing art to symbols, themes, or underlying meanings—diminishes the visceral and sensory experience of art itself. Instead, Sontag advocates for a more immediate, aesthetic engagement that celebrates the sensory and experiential aspects of art, advocating a form of "aestheticism" that resists the urge to decode or analyze at the expense of feeling.

## Core Principles of Sontag's Critique

Sontag's essay is underpinned by several interrelated principles that challenge traditional modes of interpretation:

### 2.1 The Critique of Interpretation

Sontag argues that interpretation has become an obsession in modern culture, leading to a kind of intellectual paralysis where the essence of art is lost in layers of analysis. She sees interpretation as:

- Overintellectualization: Turning art into an object of mental gymnastics rather than a visceral experience.
- Obscuring the immediate: The act of interpreting often clouds the raw, emotional impact of art.
- Reductionism: Simplifying complex works into digestible symbols, thereby stripping them of their sensory

richness. 2.2 Art as Sensory Experience Contrary to interpretative methods, Sontag emphasizes the importance of engaging with art through the senses. She advocates for an appreciation that is: - Sensual: Focused on sight, sound, touch, smell, and taste. - Immediate: Appreciating art in its present moment, rather than dissecting it for hidden meanings. - Experiential: Valuing the emotional and physical response over intellectual analysis. 2.3 The Role of the Critic For Sontag, critics should serve as facilitators of sensory engagement rather than interpreters of messages. Their role is to: - Enhance perception, making audiences more aware of their sensory experience. - Avoid overinterpretation, which can obscure the immediacy of the artwork. - Encourage direct engagement with art's material qualities.

## Historical Context and Philosophical Foundations

To fully appreciate Sontag's stance, it is essential to situate her critique within the broader philosophical debates of her time. 2.1 Postwar Cultural Climate The post-World War II era saw a rise in semiotics, structuralism, and deconstruction, all emphasizing the decoding of symbols and underlying meanings in art and literature. Thinkers like Roland Barthes and Jacques Derrida challenged traditional notions of meaning, leading to an interpretive explosion. Sontag's argument can be viewed as a reaction to this trend. While she does not dismiss the importance of interpretation altogether, she seeks a balance—favoring perception over deciphering. 2.2 Influences from Aesthetic Philosophy Sontag's ideas resonate with aesthetic movements like Romanticism, which prioritized emotional, sensory, and intuitive engagement with art. She also draws on the ideas of Walter Pater and John Ruskin, who emphasized the importance of sensory appreciation and the immediacy of aesthetic experience. 2.3 Critique of Modern Art and Literature Sontag was concerned that interpretive excesses led to a kind of sterilization of art, turning it into a cerebral exercise rather than a source of genuine emotional or sensory pleasure. Her critique aligns with a broader skepticism of intellectualization that alienates audiences from authentic aesthetic experiences.

## Implications for Art Criticism and Cultural Engagement

Sontag's "Against Interpretation" has profound implications for how art is discussed, experienced, and valued. 2.1 Re-evaluating the Role of the Critic Her stance suggests a shift from the critic as an interpreter to a facilitator of sensory appreciation. Critics should: - Encourage direct, physical engagement with art. - Focus on describing sensory qualities rather than decoding hidden meanings. - Promote a more immediate and accessible aesthetic experience for audiences. 2.2 Impact on Artistic Production While Sontag primarily addresses criticism, her ideas also influence artists. Many contemporary artists prioritize sensory, experiential, and visceral qualities—think of installation art, performance art, and abstract expressionism—that resist straightforward interpretation. 2.3 Education and Audience Reception The essay advocates for educating audiences to trust their senses and emotional responses, fostering a more direct and personal relationship with art. This approach challenges the traditional academic model that emphasizes analysis and interpretation.

## Contemporary Relevance and Critiques

Nearly six decades after its publication, "Against Interpretation" continues to resonate in discussions about art and culture, yet it also invites critique and reevaluation. 2.1 The Persistence of Interpretation Despite Sontag's calls for restraint, interpretation remains central to many art forms, especially in fields like literary criticism, film studies, and visual arts. The layered complexity of contemporary works often demands nuanced analysis, which can deepen understanding and appreciation. 2.2 The Balance Between Sensory Engagement and Interpretation Some critics argue that Sontag's dichotomy oversimplifies the relationship between sensory experience and interpretation. Art can be appreciated both for its immediate visceral impact and for its symbolic or thematic

richness. A nuanced approach recognizes that sensory and interpretive engagements are not mutually exclusive but mutually enriching. 2.3 Cultural and Political Dimensions Sontag’s emphasis on aesthetic immediacy has been critiqued for potentially neglecting the social and political contexts of art. Some argue that interpretation is essential for understanding issues like representation, identity, and power dynamics embedded within artistic works.

## Legacy and Continuing Conversation

"Against Interpretation" has left a lasting imprint on aesthetics, criticism, and cultural philosophy. Its call for a more visceral, sensory approach to art challenges critics, artists, and audiences alike to reconsider their relationship with art. 2.1 Influence on Contemporary Aesthetics Movements such as phenomenology, minimalism, and participatory art echo Sontag’s emphasis on immediate experience. Her ideas have inspired a more embodied approach to art appreciation that values perception and emotion. 2.2 Relevance in Digital Culture In an era dominated by digital reproduction and rapid consumption, Sontag’s advocacy for direct sensory engagement offers a counterpoint to superficial engagement. Her ideas encourage slowing down and savoring the sensory qualities of art, fostering deeper connections. 2.3 Ongoing Debates The debate between interpretation and immediacy persists, with scholars and critics grappling with how best to foster meaningful engagement with art in diverse cultural contexts.

## Conclusion: The Enduring Significance of Sontag’s Critique

Susan Sontag’s "Against Interpretation" remains a provocative and essential text that challenges us to rethink how we approach art and culture. Its emphasis on sensory immediacy, emotional engagement, and skepticism of overinterpretation urges critics, artists, and audiences to prioritize experience over analysis. While the complexities of artistic works often demand layered interpretations, Sontag’s call reminds us that at the heart of art lies a visceral, immediate human response. Her critique encourages a more balanced, nuanced relationship with art—one that honors both the sensory and the interpretive—ensuring her ideas remain vital in contemporary cultural discourse. In navigating the ongoing tension between perception and interpretation, Sontag’s legacy persists as a vital reminder: sometimes, the best way to understand art is simply to feel it.

## Questions & Answers About susan sontag against interpretation

| No | Question                                                                               | Answer                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | What is Susan Sontag's main argument in 'Against Interpretation'?                      | Sontag argues that art should be experienced directly and emotionally rather than analyzed analytically, criticizing the tendency to interpret art as a way to diminish its sensory and aesthetic value. |
| 2  | How did Susan Sontag's 'Against Interpretation' influence contemporary art criticism?  | The essay shifted focus from intellectual analysis to appreciating art's immediate sensory and emotional impact, encouraging critics and viewers to value experience over interpretation.                |
| 3  | What are some critiques of Sontag's 'Against Interpretation' from modern perspectives? | Some critics argue that interpretation is essential for understanding complex artworks and that Sontag's emphasis on sensation may overlook the importance of contextual analysis and cultural critique. |

|    |                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4  | In what ways does 'Against Interpretation' challenge traditional views on art analysis?                                  | It challenges the idea that understanding and analyzing art is the ultimate goal, advocating instead for embracing the sensory and emotional aspects of art without over-intellectualizing them.  |
| 5  | How does Sontag differentiate between 'reading' and 'seeing' in her essay?                                               | Sontag suggests that 'reading' involves intellectual analysis and interpretation, while 'seeing' refers to experiencing art directly through sensation and emotion, advocating for more 'seeing'. |
| 6  | Why does Sontag criticize the tendency to interpret art in 'Against Interpretation'?                                     | She believes that interpretation often strips art of its immediacy and emotional power, reducing it to a set of intellectual concepts rather than allowing for a direct sensory experience.       |
| 7  | What relevance does 'Against Interpretation' have in today's digital and media-saturated culture?                        | The essay's call to experience art directly resonates today, encouraging consumers to engage with media more sensually and emotionally, rather than just intellectually analyzing content.        |
| 8  | How does Sontag's view in 'Against Interpretation' relate to her broader philosophical ideas?                            | It reflects her broader emphasis on the importance of sensory experience, immediacy, and the rejection of overly intellectualized approaches to understanding art and life.                       |
| 9  | What are some practical implications of adopting Sontag's perspective from 'Against Interpretation' in art appreciation? | It encourages viewers to focus on their personal sensory and emotional response to art, rather than solely relying on scholarly analysis or interpretive frameworks.                              |
| 10 | Has 'Against Interpretation' been influential in any specific art movements or practices?                                | Yes, it influenced movements like Abstract Expressionism and approaches that emphasize sensory experience, immediacy, and emotional expression over formal analysis or symbolic interpretation.   |

Susan Sontag, *Against Interpretation*, literary criticism, aesthetic theory, cultural critique, essay collection, postmodernism, art analysis, critique of interpretation, philosophical essays